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Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.005 \AA$
Disorder in main residue
$R$ factor $=0.037$
$w R$ factor $=0.103$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=12.7$
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## Chlorobis(1,10-phenanthroline- $\kappa^{2} N, N^{\prime}$ )copper(II) 4-aminobenzenesulfonate trihydrate

The crystal structure of the title compound, $\left[\mathrm{CuCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12^{-}}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, contains $\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}$ cations five-coordinated by one chloride anion and four N atoms of two 1,10phenanthroline ligands. Between the the 4 -aminobenzenesulfonate anions and the uncoordinated water molecules intermolecular hydrogen bonding is found.

## Comment

In comparison with other organic acid anions, such as carboxylates and phosphonates, organosulfonates have long been used in industry as dyes and surfactants, but their coordination chemistry has been less well investigated due to the perception that sulfonates are poor ligands. Recently, increasing attention has been paid to the coordination modes of organosulfonate ligands (Cote, 2003; Cai, 2004). Here, the synthesis and crystal structure of the title sulfonate complex, (I), are reported.


The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The crystal structure of (I) is built up of $\left[\mathrm{CuCl}(\text { phen })_{2}\right]^{+}$cations (phen $=$ 1,10-phenanthroline), 4-aminobenzenesulfonate anions and water molecules. Selected bond lengths are listed in Table 1. In the $\left[\mathrm{CuCl}(\text { phen })_{2}\right]^{+}$cation, the two phen molecules are almost perpendicular to each other. The coordination of the Cu atom can be described as distorted trigonal-bipyramidal, made up of one chloride anion and four N atoms of two phen molecules; this is similar to the coordination of the Cu atom in the complex $\left[\mathrm{CuCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right) \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Xiao et al., 2004). The 4 -aminobenzenesulfonate anion does not coordinate to the Cu atom, but is connected into chains by intermolecular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2 and Fig. 2). These chains are connected into layers by two different types of hydrogen bonding. In the first type, the chains are linked, via only one water molecule, by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds. These water molecules act as donors as well as acceptors (Fig. 2). In the second type of hydrogen bonding, two water molecules and two O atoms of the anion form eightmembered rings, built up of four intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$
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Figure 1
The asymmetric unit of (I), with the atom labelling. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level and H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii. Both disorder components are shown.


Figure 2
The packing of the anions and the water molecules (I), viewed along the $b$ axis. The cations are not shown for clarity. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.
hydrogen bonds. The rings may be further connected by water molecule O 4 , but the H atoms attached to it could not be detected in a difference map. Layers are formed which are perpendicular to the $b$ axis.

## Experimental

All reagents were of analytical grade from commercial sources and were used without further purification. A solution of ethanol ( 10 ml ) containing $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.852 \mathrm{~g})$ and 1,10 -phenanthroline ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.991 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added slowly to a solution of sodium 4aminobenzenesulfonate ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.195 \mathrm{~g}$ ) dissolved in water ( 10 ml ). After stirring for 3 h , the mixture was left to stand at room temperature for about 2 d , affording green crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction. Elemental analysis found: C $52.75, \mathrm{H} 3.55$, N $10.07 \%$; calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClCuN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ : C $52.55, \mathrm{H}, 4.12, \mathrm{~N} 10.21 \%$.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{CuCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) \cdot-$
$\quad 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=683.61$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=8.1734(5) \AA$
$b=13.3367(8) \AA$
$c=14.9091(10) \AA$
$\alpha=67.682(1)^{\circ}$
$\beta=84.243(1)^{\circ}$

Data collection
Bruker SMART CCD area-detector
$\quad$ diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
$\quad$ (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2002)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.650, T_{\text {max }}=0.696$
(expected range $=0.708-0.758)$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.037$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.103$
$S=1.09$
5178 reflections
409 parameters
$\gamma=79.368(1)^{\circ}$
$V=1476.81(16) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.537 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=0.95 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293$ (2) K
Block, green
$0.35 \times 0.33 \times 0.29 \mathrm{~mm}$

7595 measured reflections
5178 independent reflections 3770 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.016$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.1^{\circ}$

H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.056 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.34 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.32 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ).

| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $1.984(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $2.122(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $1.989(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $2.2804(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $2.119(2)$ |  |  |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 N 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.86 | 2.15 | 3.000 (4) | 168 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.86 | 2.23 | 3.040 (4) | 157 |
| O5-H1O5 $\cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.82 | 2.00 | 2.822 (3) | 174 |
| $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 5 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ | 0.82 | 2.12 | 2.900 (4) | 160 |
| O6-H1O6 $\cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.82 | 2.11 | 2.905 (4) | 163 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.86 | 2.15 | 3.000 (4) | 168 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$; (ii) $x+1, y, z$; (iii) $-x,-y+1,-z+1$; (iv) $x, y, z+1$.

The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and water H atoms on O 5 and O 6 were located in a difference Fourier map; their bond lengths were set to ideal values and they were refined with fixed isotropic displacement parameters using a riding model, with $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.82 \AA, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}=0.86 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N})$. The water H atoms on O 4 were not located. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}$ atoms were placed in calculated positions and were refined isotropically using a riding model, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$. The sulfonate group is disordered over two orientations and was refined using a split model [occupancies 0.83 (1) and 0.17 (1)].

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Siemens, 1995); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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